1 2 3

4

5

7

10

11

12

13

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

6 DAVID BELLO,

٧.

Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:23-cv-00827-MMD-BNW

ORDER

8 CLAUDIA NOYOLA,

Defendant.

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of United States Magistrate Judge Brenda Weksler (ECF No. 2), recommending that the Court dismiss this action for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Plaintiff David Bello had until June 14, 2023 to file an objection. To date, no objection to the R&R has been filed. For this reason, and as explained below, the Court adopts the R&R in full and dismisses this action.

Because there is no objection, the Court need not conduct de novo review and is satisfied Judge Weksler did not clearly err. *See United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1116 (9th Cir. 2003) ("De novo review of the magistrate judges' findings and recommendations is required if, but *only* if, one or both parties file objections to the findings and recommendations.") (emphasis in original). Here, Judge Weksler recommends dismissing this action with prejudice because the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over Bello's request for assistance in obtaining custody over his children. (ECF No. 2 at 2-3.) The Court agrees with Judge Weksler. Having reviewed the R&R and the record in this case, the Court will adopt the R&R in full.

It is therefore ordered that Judge Weksler's Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 2) is accepted and adopted in full.

It is further ordered that this action is dismissed with prejudice.

The Clerk of Court is directed to enter judgment accordingly and close this case.

141516

1718

19 20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

Case 2:23-cv-00827-MMD-BNW Document 3 Filed 06/15/23 Page 2 of 2